

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

4L

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,882	03/04/2002	Ying-Fei Wei	PF458D1	2419	
22195	7590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER		
-	ENOME SCIENCES II TUAL PROPERTY DEPT		MERTZ, PREMA MARIA		
14200 SHAI	DY GROVE ROAD	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROCKVILL	E, MD 20850		1646	1646	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/086,882		WEI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Prema M Me	ertz	1646				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication				ress			
Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Provisions of 37 Cr SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. EFR 1.136(a). In no event, on. , a reply within the statutor period will apply and will es statute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be timery minimum of thirty (30) days spire SIX (6) MONTHS from tition to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this com C (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)[This action is non	-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for	formal matters, pro	secution as to the r	nerits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•				
8)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requir	ement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reian priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f)				
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	о.д.: р.:.о.:у ш.:.о.	3 1 10(0)	(4) 5. (1).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been re	eceived in Applicatio	on No				
	$3.\square$ Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents	s have been received	d in this National St	age			
	application from the International Bu	•	` ''					
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified	I copies not received	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (I					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI		Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pa		52)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date		Other:		~~ ;			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-12 drawn to a polynucleotide encoding chemokine β -6, a recombinant vector, a method for making a recombinant vector, a recombinant host cell, a method for making a recombinant host cell and a method for producing a chemokine β -6 polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 69.5.
- II. Claim 13-16, 18, drawn to a chemokine β -6 polypeptide and a pharmaceutical composition thereto, classified in class 530, subclass 324.
- III. Claim 17, drawn to an antibody that binds chemokine β -6 polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.
- IV. Claim 19, drawn to a method of treatment with chemokine β -6 polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 85.1.
- V. Claim 20, drawn to a method of diagnosing an immune system disorder by determining the level of expression of chemokine β -6 polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-III are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function and each has an independent utility, that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged. The nucleic acid of Group I can be used to make a hybridization probe or can be used in gene therapy as well as in the production of the protein of interest. The protein of Group II can be used other than to

Application/Control Number: 10/086,882

Art Unit: 1646

make the antibody of Group III, such as used as a probe, or used therapeutically or diagnostically (e.g. in screening). Although the antibody of Group III can be used to obtain the nucleic acid of Group I, it can also be used in diagnostics (e.g. as a probe in immunoassays, or in immunochromatography) or it may be used therapeutically.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the chemokine β -6 polypeptide can be prepared by materially different processes, such as by chemical synthesis, or obtained from nature using various isolation and purification protocols.

Inventions I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in the process of producing a recombinant protein.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptides can be used as antigen for antibody production.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,882

Art Unit: 1646

Inventions I, III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions I, II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions II, III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions IV-V are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps and goals.

Having shown that these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter as defined by MPEP § 808.02, the Examiner has *prima facie* shown a serious burden of search (see MPEP § 803). Therefore, an initial requirement of restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,882

Art Unit: 1646

2. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction

Art Unit: 1646

requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prema Mertz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00AM to 3:30PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (571) 272-0961.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (571) 273-0876.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prema Mertz Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1646 August 12, 2004 Page 5